

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,926	09/19/2003	Victor W. Lee	Master Cutlery, Inc 1	7063
7590 06/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Mr. Walter J. Tencza Jr. Suite 3 10 Station Place			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Metuchen, NJ	08840		3724	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		6			
1 langetal	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Supplemental Office Action Summary	10/665,926	LEE, VICTOR W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH that the cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	13 May 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-21</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2005</u> is/a		ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	raign priority under 35 IISC & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eigh phonty under 35 0.6.6. § 1	13(a)-(d) 01 (1).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received	•			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		olication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	• •				
application from the International Bu		cerved in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		reived			
dec the attached detailed diffice detail for t	a list of the continued copies flot re	oolved.			
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tatassias Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-092)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/665,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **Detailed Action**

In light of the newly founded art (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,724), the allowable subject matter of claims 4 and 17-19 as indicated in the previous Office action (mailed on 5-27-2005) has been withdrawn. Any inconvenience to the Applicant is regretted.

## Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 14-16, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaub (U.S. Patent No. 4,908,947) in view of Bolling (U.S. Patent No. 2,456,858).

Schaub discloses an apparatus comprising a first folding knife (see Fig.1), a second folding knife (see column 2, lines 7-12); wherein the first folding knife includes a first attachment device (8); wherein the second folding knife includes a second attachment device (11); wherein the first attachment device (8) and the second attachment device (11) can be attached to each other to attach the first folding knife to the second folding knife; and wherein the first attachment device (8) and the second

Application/Control Number: 10/665,926

Art Unit: 3724

attachment device (11) can be detached to each other to detach the first folding knife from the second folding knife substantially as claimed except for the shape of the two attachment devices.

Specifically, Schaub's first attachment device (8) comprises a first plate (15) having a groove-like recess (8), and the second attachment device (11) comprises a dovetail-shaped connecting element (11) slidably received in the groove-like recess for attaching the two attachment devices (8,11) and their respective knives together.

However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to attach two elements together by providing one element with an opening and the other element with a protrusion so that the protrusion can be inserted into the opening for attaching the two elements together as evidenced by Bolling. Bolling also shows the first attachment device comprising a first plate (6) having an opening (7/8), and a third plate (5) having an opening (7/8) substantially aligned with (see Fig.5) the opening (7/8) of the first plate (6); and the second attachment device comprising a second plate (17) having a protrusion (36,38) attached thereto to be inserted into the opening (7/8) of either the first plate (6) or the third plate (5). Bolling also shows two stops (15) protruding into (see Figs. 3 and 6) the opening (7) of the third plate (5) as claimed.

In view of this fact, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Schaub by substituting Bolling's attachment devices for those of Schaub's. The modification is obvious since it would only involve substituting one known type of attachment device for another for attaching two elements together.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,926

Art Unit: 3724

3. Claims 4 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaub (U.S. Patent No. 4,908,947) and Bolling (U.S. Patent No. 2,456,858) as applied to claims 1 and 14-16 above, and further in view of Halligan (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,724).

Schaub's modual knife as modified above shows all the claimed structure except the plate does not have a portion that flexes to keep the blade in an open position.

Halligan shows a knife comprising a plate (22) having a portion (82) that flexes for locking a knife blade (30) in an open position (see Fig.1).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Schabu by providing the third plate with a flexible portion to facilitate locking the blade in an opan position during use as taught by Halligan.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaub (U.S. Patent No. 4,908,947) and Bolling (U.S. Patent No. 2,456,858) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cheng (U.S. Patent No. Des. 419,851).

Schaub's modular knife as modified above shows all the claimed structure except for the clip.

Cheng shows a knife comprising a clip (see Fig.6).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to further modify Schaub by providing the modular knife with a clip to facilitate hanging when not in use as taught by Cheng.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,926

Art Unit: 3724

Page 5

**Indication of Allowable Subject Matter** 

Claims 12 and 13 are allowed.

**Point of Contact** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306

for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer May 27, 2005 Hwal-Siu Payer Primary Examiner

171 Payer